

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/015,316	12/12/2001	John Hufferd	IL920000089US2	2909
7590 03/29/2006			EXAMINER	
IBM CORPOR		AHMED, SALMAN		
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW DEPT. P.O. BOX 218 YORKTOWN HEIGHTS, NY 10598			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2616	
		DATE MAILED: 03/29/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

BIL

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/015,316	HUFFERD ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Salman Ahmed	2666			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2/28/	2006.				
	action is non-final.				
·—	' -				
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.	•			
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>12 December 2001</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority document					
2. Certified copies of the priority document	, ,	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	·	ed in this National Stage			
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)					
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	5) Notice of Informal F	Patent Application (PTO-152)			
S Patent and Trademark Office	o/				

Application/Control Number: 10/015,316

Art Unit: 2666

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1-8 are rejected.

Claims 1-8 are pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

1. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

The claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.

Claims 1-8 are directed to a data structure involving mere arrangement of data. The claims are not directed towards any of the statutory subject matter; i.e. process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter. An abstract idea, stored in a computer-readable medium, in a computer, on an electromagnetic carrier signal does not make it statutory. See Diehr, 450 U.S. at 185-86, 209 USPQ at 8.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of

Art Unit: 2666

the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

3. Claims 3-5, 7 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Brustoloni et al. (US PAT 6886103), hereinafter referred to as Brustoloni and in view of Sapuntzakis et al. (hereinafter referred to as Sapuntzakis) IETF draft TCP RDMA option draft-csapuntz-tcprdma-00.txt, Cisco Systems February 2000, and further in view of Tsunoda (US PAT 6516435).

In regards to claims 3-5, 7 and 8, Brustoloni teaches a data packet header (figure 2) comprising: an internet protocol (IP) header (figure 2, IP header), and a transmission control protocol (TCP) header (figure 2, TCP header), and IPSec defined protocols like the AH (Authentication Header) protocol (figure 2 element 202) and the ESP (Encapsulating Security Payload) protocol (figure 3 element 302) header inserted between TCP and IP header and transported using TCP/IP.

Brustoloni does not explicitly teach a remote direct memory access (RDMA) header (Figure in page 5 section 3.1 Usage), can also be transported using TCP/IP.

Application/Control Number: 10/015,316

Art Unit: 2666

Sapuntzakis in the same field of endeavor teaches (page 4 lines 2-5) the sender places the option on TCP segments containing RDMA data. The RDMA option describes to the receiver the location of the RDMA data in the TCP payload.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine Brustoloni's teaching of putting IPSec related data header with IP and TCP with Sapuntzakis's teaching of transporting RDMA related header via TCP/IP. The motivation is that (as suggested by Sapuntzakis, abstract) the TCP option introduced in the draft reduces the overhead of receiving data with TCP-based protocols such as NFS and HTTP. It enables the construction of a simple hardware accelerator that copies data directly from the incoming packet into application buffers, avoiding expensive copies in the protocol stack. Even without hardware acceleration, the option enables the protocol stack to decrease the number of copies it must do.

In regards to claims 3-5, 7 and 8 Brustoloni in view of Sapuntzakis teach sending RDMA header with IP and TCP headers as described above.

In regards to claims 3-5, 7 and 8 Brustoloni in view of Sapuntzakis does not explicitly teach at least two of the data packets contain the TCP, IP and RDMA headers and at least two of said data packets is each data packet in the stream.

Tsunoda in the same field of endeavor teaches sending redundant (column 21 lines 40-41, m pieces of information packets and the k pieces of redundant packets are transmitted) packets.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Brustoloni in view of Sapuntzakis's teaching by incorporating the step of sending redundant packets as taught by Tsunoda. The motivation is that (as taught by Tsunoda, column 3 lines 27-30) Tsundoa's teaching provides an error correction scheme, which can produce redundant packets.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments, see pages 2-3 of the Remarks section, filed 2/28/2006, with respect to the rejections of claims 1-8 have been fully considered. Applicant's argument in regards to claims 1, 2 and 6 are persuasive and as such USC 35 103 rejection has been withdrawn. Applicant's argument in regards to claims 3-5, 7 and 8 are not persuasive. Claims 3-5, 7 and 8 does not distinctly cite limitation stating RDMA header is between tcp and ip header.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Salman Ahmed whose telephone number is (571)272-8307. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 am - 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Hassan Kizou can be reached on (571)272-3088. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2666

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Art Unit 2616

SA 03/23/06

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600